SWE 3613 Peer Evaluation Form

Software Systems Engineering *Last Revision: 1/7/2013*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Your Name: | Alex Veit | Date: | 6/26/2013 |
| Group Name: | Group 2 | Project & Sprint: (e.g. ‘Project 1, Sprint 1’) | Project 2, Sprint 1 |

**\*\*\* YOU MUST USE MICROSOFT WORD 2010 OR LATER TO EDIT AND SAVE THIS DOCUMENT! \*\*\***

**Submission Instructions:** You must upload a PDF of this form . Name your file according to the following scheme:

LASTNAME-2013-MM-DD-PeerReview.pdf

where “LASTNAME” is your last name, “MM” is the TWO-DIGIT month, and “DD” is the TWO-DIGIT day.

**Form Instructions:** You must evaluate each group member – INCLUDING YOURSELF – in the space provided below. First, fill in the names of yourself and your team members; then assign a rating for each category on a 5-point scale (0 being the worst, 5 being absolutely perfect). ***NOTE****: No team member is ever ‘perfect’. Simply assigning all 5s or scores that add up to 35 or higher are unlikely and must be justified or they will be viewed poorly.*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Yourself | Member Two | Member Three | Member Four |
| First Name: | Alex | Damon | Paul | Karl |
| Last Name: | Veit | Heard | Gimou | Kamdem |
| **Personal Metrics** | | | | |
|  | *Rating (zero to five)* | *Rating (zero to five)* | *Rating (zero to five)* | *Rating (zero to five)* |
| Attitude toward project and achieving a good result | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Quality of work contributed by each team member | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Attendance at meetings | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Communication during meetings | 4 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Communication outside of meetings | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Efforts to share work and contribute as "part of the team" | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Ability to meet deadlines | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Meeting the team's expectations | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 25 (40 max) | 18 (40 max) | 4 (40 max) | 27 (40 max) |
| **Contribution Metrics**  *Suppose you have 97 units of something desirable to distribute across your team in proportion to their overall contribution and effort. How would you distribute those 97 points for each of the following categories? The sum over all of the team members FOR EACH CATEGORY will be 97%; with no fractions. In other words, each ROW must add up to 97.* ***NOTE****: No team members ever contribute equally to a project. Simply assigning 32 – 32 – 33 to make it look even and avoid favoritism is not acceptable and will be viewed poorly – that means YOUR peer review score will be penalized for poor feedback.* | | | | |
|  | Some portion of 97 | Some portion of 97 | Some portion of 97 | Some portion of 97 |
| Overall Contribution  *(0 points left to distribute)* | 43 | 5 | 0 | 49 |
| Design & Planning  *(0 points left to distribute)* | 40 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Development & Coding  *(1 points left to distribute)* | 45 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| System Admin. & Tech Support  *(1 points left to distribute)* | 45 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| Documentation & Paperwork  *(0 points left to distribute)* | 30 | 22 | 5 | 40 |

**Descriptive Comments**

*Answer each of the following in* ***complete sentences****. Your responses should be at least* ***several sentences in length****. You must provide* ***DESCRIPTIVE and QUALITATIVE*** *comments on each member's part in the team’s work (including yourself!). Failure to provide meaningful and specific feedback, or simply giving “pro forma” praise such as “did a good job” will be viewed poorly.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Major Contributions** *What did each member contribute to the project for this cycle?* | |
| Alex Veit | Developed the core crawl algorithm |
| Damon Heard | nothing |
| Paul Gimou | Diligently gave me a cigarette when I asked for one |
| Karl Kamdem | Got the project kick started by figuring out how to use IIS, queried the links array to find broken links, developed login functionality, developed the data display |
| **Strengths & Weaknesses** *What were each member’s major strength(s) and weakness(es) for this cycle?* | |
| Alex Veit | Good logical back end development terrible front end development for user friendliness |
| Damon Heard | need more data |
| Paul Gimou | need more data |
| Karl Kamdem | fast learner, focused, goal driven, plenty of time on his hands, lack of formal programming tranning. |
| **Attitude & Teamwork***Describe each member’s attitude, personality, and ability to work in a team.* | |
| Alex Veit | Marginal |
| Damon Heard | unsatisfactory |
| Paul Gimou | unsatisfactory |
| Karl Kamdem | satisfactory |